CHIRAL FERROCENYLALKYLAMINES FROM (-)-MENTHONE

FRANZ SIGLMÜLLER, RUDOLF HERRMANN and IVAR UGI*

Organisch-Chemisches Institut der Technischen Universität München, Lichtenbergstr. 4, D-8046 Garching.

(Received in Germany 9 April 1986)

Abstract

Three enantiomerically pure α -ferrocenylalkylamines are directly obtained from (-)-menthone. The isomerizations of the α -ferrocenylalkyl carbocation intermediates are studied and exploited in stereoselective syntheses. These carbocations are the basis of the configurational assignment of the amines. Their usefulness as chiral templates of stereoselective four component condensations is demonstrated by the synthesis of a model compound for peptides.

The importance of chiral products led to a growing interest in asymmetric syntheses¹. Catalytic systems² and recoverable chiral templates^{3-5,7,8} are the main areas of research. Many syntheses, and very often the most elegant, have made use of the natural 'chiral pool'.

Chiral \propto -ferrocenylalkylamines, the starting materials for chelating chiral phosphane ligands in hydrogenation catalysts^{2,6} and chiral templates in peptide synthesis by stereoselective four component condensation (4CC)^{7,8}, were only accessible via resolution of the racemates^{9,10,11}. The resolution procedures are generally cumbersome processes and involve losses of resolving agent and amine. Accordingly we tried to prepare chiral ferrocenylalkylamines directly from materials belonging to the chiral pool. (-)-Menthol and (-)-menthone appeared favorable as starting materials, since they are inexpensive enantiomerically pure chiral compounds.



To ensure high enantiomeric excesses in asymmetric reactions, the amines should be of the type $Fc-CH(NH_2)-R$ ¹¹. Four such diastereomeric amines may be obtained from (-)-menthol (compounds 1 - 4).

The amines 1 and 2 are derived from the 'menthyl' system. They have all substituents of the cyclohexane system in equatorial positions, while 3 and 4 have the ferrocenylmethyl substituent in an axial position (the 'neomenthyl' system). The most straightforward approach to fix the position of the ferrocenylmethyl substituent would be a Friedel-Crafts acylation of ferrocene with 'menthyl' or 'neomenthyl' carboxylic acid chlorides, 5 or 24 respectively (see scheme 1). The corresponding acids 26 and 29 can be prepared from (-)-menthol in several steps¹². The ferrocenyl menthyl (or neomenthyl) ketones 6 or 23 thus formed can be reduced to a mixture of diastereomeric alcohols 9 and 10 or 21 and 22, respectively. While 9 and 10 can be separated by column chromatography, we found it impossible to separate the 'neomenthyl' alcohols 21 and 22. The conversion of the alcohols to the amines proceeds with retention of the configuration¹³ via the carbocations 11 and 12 (or 18 and 19 for the 'neomenthyl' system) with azide anion as a scavenger^{11,14}. While the amines 1 and 2 can thus be prepared as pure enantiomers by reducing the azides 13 and 14, 3 and 4 are obtained only as a hard to separate mixture of diastereomers. The reaction of ferrocene carboxaldehyde with the Grignard reagents from both 'menthyl' and 'neomenthyl' chlorides 7 and 8 yields the same diastereomeric mixture of the 'menthyl' alcohols 9 and 10 exclusively and can therefore not be considered as practical alternative. In order to obtain the pure amines 3 and 4, another approach must be taken.

Carbonyl compounds react with ferrocene under acidic conditions to form \propto -ferrocenylalkyl carbocations that can be deprotonated to form alkenes or scavenged by nucleophiles to yield \propto -ferrocenylalkyl derivatives^{15,16,17}. We thus tried to convert (-)-menthol and (-)menthone to the aldehydes 27 and 30 or one of their derivatives. The conversion of the carboxylic acids 26 and 29 or the nitriles 25 and 28 to the aldehydes¹⁸ appeared unattractive because of the low yields. Although (-)-menthone gives smoothly the oxirane 31 by reaction with sulfoxonium ylid¹⁹, we found it impossible to rearrange it to the aldehydes 27 or 30. However, we were successful in preparing the enol ether 15 by the Wittig reaction of (-)-menthone with methoxymethylene-triphenylphosphorane¹⁴ (Scheme 2).The enol ether 15 reacts smoothly with ferrocene under acidic conditions to form carbocations 11 and 12. These, on deprotonation, produce the alkene 16 (see scheme 1).

Reprotonation of the alkene leads to the carbocation 18. Trapping of the carbocation with azide and reduction of the intermediate yields only one amine. According to its X-ray structure this amine is $1-\{\min[(15,25,5R)-2-isopropy]-5-methylcyclohexyl]-(S)-methyl}$ -ferrocene, i.e. compound 3^{14} .

Based on this result, configurational assignments of all species in scheme 1 are possible. For the nomenclature of chiral &-ferrocenylalkyl carbocations, we follow earlier suggestions¹¹. The remarkable stability of the ∞ -ferrocenylalkyl carbocations²⁰ is due to a relatively high rotational barrier around the ferrocene-C⁹bond (about 100 kJ/mol)²¹. Thus, even sterically crowded cations exist long enough to allow spectroscopic measurements and to obtain derivatives by nucleophilic substitution. However, upon longer storage in solution, they tend to form the thermodynamically more stable isomers that may exist in equilibrium with minor amounts of the less stable isomer¹¹. The reaction of the enol ether 15 with ferrocene leads to a 90 : 10 mixture of the carbocations 11 and 12. If the reaction mixture is treated immediately with azide ion, the amine 1 can be isolated after reduction of the intermediate azide 13, due to its tendency to crystallize, in pure state. Amine 2, which is formed in 10% yield only, does not crystallize. If the reaction mixture is left at room temperature for about 6 hours, the rearrangement to the thermodynamically more stable cation 12 is complete. Its scavenging by azide, followed by reduction, gives the pure amine 2. The acidolysis of the alcohols 9 and 10 leads exclusively to the carbocations 11 and 12, respectively, as seen by comparison of their NMR spectra, this indicates that 11 and 12 (and thus 1 and 2) belong to the 'menthyl' series (with equatorial position of all substituents of the cyclohexane ring).



Table 1. 1 H-NMR spectra of ketones 6 and 23 and alkenes 16 and 17, in CDCl₃ at 200 MHz (*d*[ppm], J[Hz]).

compound	configuration	1	2 - 5	6 or 7	8 - 13	14, 14', 15
6	(1R,2S,5R)	4.22	4.83(m) 4.77(m) 4.50(m)	2.80 (dtr, $J_1 = 3.3$, $J_2 = 11.2$)	1.90(m,1H) 1.74(m,3H) 1.34(m,2H) 0.90-1.20 (m,3H)	0.92(d,J=6.6) 0.90(d,J=6.6) 0.81(d,J≖6.6)
23	(1S,2S,5R)	4.21	4.76(m) 4.46(m)	3.35(m)	2.04(m,2H) 1.76(m,3H) 1.52(m,1H) 1.33(m,1H) 1.03(m,1H) 0.80-0.95 (m,1H)	0.83(d,J=6.6) 0.89(d,J=6.8) 0.90(d,J=6.8)
16	E-(2S,5R)	4.12	4.17(m) 4.28(m)	5.89(s)	2.59(dd,1H; $J_1 = 3.6$, $J_2 = 12.8$) 2.02(qua,1H; J = 6.6) 1.82(m,4H) 1.30(m,3H)	0.95(d,3H,J=6.6) 0.97(d,6H,J=6.4)
17 ^a	z-(2s,5r)	4.17	4.23(m, 3H) 4.73(m, 1H)	6.30(m)	-	-

a) Due to its instability, 17 could not be isolated in pure state. Assignment of the alkyl protons was therefore impossible.



Table 2. 1 H-NMR spectra of alcohols 9 and 10, azides 13, 14, and 20, and amines 1, 2, and 3, in CDCl₃ at 200 MHz (δ [ppm], J[Hz]).

compou	nd	configuration		1'	2	- 5	6	6	7	8-13	14,14',15	x
9	(lR,	,2S,5R,methyl	R)	4.19	4. 4. 4.	29(17(10((m) (m) (m)	4.74 (m)	2.25 (m)	1,75(m,1H) 1.67(m,2H) 1.43(m,1H) 1.31(m,1H) 1.16(m,1H) 0,76-1.01 (m,3H)	0.81(d, 6H; J= 6.8) 0.97(d, 3H;J= 7.0)	a
10	(1R,	,2S,5R,methyl	S)	4.24	4.4.	28(17((m) (m)	4.67 (m)	b	1.98(m,2H) 1.81(m,3H) 1.56(m,2H) 0.60-1.08 (m,3H)	0.88(d, J=6.8) 0.83(d, J=6.8) 0.81(d, J=6.6)	1.25
13	(lR,	,2S,5R,methyl	R)	4.22	4. 4. 4.	28(17(05((m) (m) (m)	4.78 (m)	2.12 (m)	1.63(m,3H) 1.28(m,3H) 0.65-1.05 (m,3H)	0.71(d, J=6.2) 0.84(d, J=6.8) 0.99(d, J=6.8)	-
14	(lR,	,2S,5R,methyl	S)	4.21	4. 4. 4.	04(13) 18((m) (m) (m)	4.73 (d,J= 2.8)	2.06 (m)	1.60(m,3H) 1.26(m,3H) 0.71-1.01 (m,3H)	0.79(d, J=6.4) 0.83(d, J=6.8) 0.93(d, J=7.0)	-
20	(15,	,2S,5R,methyl	S)	4.20	4. 4. 4.	03(15(28((m) (m) (m)	4.80 (m)	2.12 (m)	1.63(m,2H) 1.27(m,4H) 1.02(m,1H) 0.86(m,2H)	0.76(d, J=6,4) 0.84(d, J=6,8) 0.99(d, J=6.8)	-
1	(1R	,2S,5R,methyl	R)	4.14	4. 4.	23(07((m) (m)	С	2.16 (m)	1.65(m,2H) 1.26(m,4H) 0.80-1.00 (m,3H)	0.98(d, J=6.8) 0.83(d, J=7.0) 0.77(d, J=6.2)	1.54
2	(lR,	,2S,5R,methyl	S)	4.16	4. 4.	10(07((m) (m)	с	2.14 (m)	1.71(m,2H) 1.54(m,4H 0.70-1.03 (m,3H)	0.91(d, J=7.0) 0.80(d, J=7.2) 0.79(d, J=6.4)	1.24
3	(15	,2S,5R,methyl	S)	4.17	4. 4.	12) 25)	(m) (m)	3.87 (d, J≃6.4)	đ	1.85(m,5H) 1.59(m,1H) 1.20(m,2H) 0.77(m,2H)	1.02(d, J=6.4) 0.94(d, J=6.6) 0.63(d, J=6.4)	1.56

a) Signal could not be detected due to overlap with alkyl moiety. b) Signal not different from other alkyl signals.c) Signal could not be detected due to overlap with ferrocene system.

5 H T 3 Fe² 4 11 15 12 9 10

The assignment of the configuration at the carbocationic centre is based on the behaviour of the cations towards bases. Cation 11 forms one alkene only, while cation 12 gives a mixture of two alkenes (about 1 : 1). Attempts to isolate the second alkene failed completely, it decomposes on chromatography, or on recrystallization. Only its NMR spectra could be obtained which suggest a Z-configuration for this compound. As deprotonation of the carbocations preferentially occurs in an "exo" mode²², the E-alkene 16 should be formed from the sterically least crowded conformation of cation 11 and the Z-alkene 17 from the cation 12. The fact that a mixture of 16 and 17 is obtained from cation 12, is probably due to the instability of 17 which isomerizes and partly decomposes rapidly. Thus, the configuration of the cation 11 (and with it, the alcohol 9, the azide 13 and the amine 1) is shown to be (1R,2S,5R,methyl R), while cation 12 (and with it, alcohol 10, azide 14 and amine 2) is (1R,2S,5R,methyl S).

On the other hand the configuration of the 'neomenthyl' carbocation 18 and of the azide 20 must be (15,25,5R,methyl S), because of its relationship with amine 3. If stored for a long period at room temperature (more than one week), a rearranged carbocation can be detected in solutions of 18. Since this cation has a different ¹H-NMR pattern from that of 11, 12, and 18, it must be the (15,25,5R,methyl R)-cation 19. However, the rearrangement is so slow that decomposition of the cation solution occurs before its completion. Thus, amine 4 could not be obtained in pure state.

compo	ound	configuratio	on	1'	1	2-5	6	7	8,11,13	9,10,12	14,14',15
9	(lR,	2S,5R,methyl	R)	68.34	93,25	69.33 67.69 67.23 67.05 66.02	a	45.76	5 43.22 35.16 26.35	33.55 32.65 24.42	22.81 21.55 15.58
10	(1R,	2S,5R,methyl	S)	68.28	90.91	69.83 68.19 67.69 67.40 66.11	a	44.18	3 43.68 35.02 26.67	34.46 32.35 24.18	22.75 21.34 15.28
13	(lR,	2S,5R,methyl	R)	68,96	89.86	67.79 67.27 67.07 65.71	63.37	46.94	43.63 34.94 26.56	33.83 32.47 24.09	22.66 21.43 15.45
14	(lR,	2S,5R,methyl	S)	68.91	86.26	67.61 67.49 67.22 67.02	63.74	44.53	3 43.01 35.04 26.61	34.72 32.29 23.92	22.60 21.22 15.14
20	(1s,	2S,5R,methyl	S)	68.75	91.99	68.10 67.90 66.41 65.10	67.51	62.92	2 49.65 41.80 38.68	35.76 29.66 26.74	24.72 22.52 22.26
1	(lR,	2S,5R,methyl	R)	68.31	95.01	67.40 66.61 66.14	49.98	46.49	43.54 35.28 26.32	33.14 32.65 24.41	22.75 21.55 15.52
2	(lR,	2S,5R,methyl	S)	68.25	91.82	67.68 67.25 66.67 66.35	49.89	45.24	43.33 34.87 26.41	34.37 32.53 24.13	22.75 21.29 15.25
3	(15,	2S,5R,methyl	S)	68.13	97.00	68.89 67.19 66.38 65.35	49.48	49.28	8 42.45 37.68 29.83	35.66 26.85 24.89	22.54 22.22

Table 3. 13 C-NMR spectra of alcohols 9 and 10, azides 13, 14, and 20, and amines 1, 2, and 3, in CDCl₃ at 15.1 MHz.

5936

Tabl	e 4	. :	IH-NMR	spectra	of	carbocations	11,	12,	and	18,	in	D-trifluoroacetic	acid,
at 2	00	MH Z	(δ[ppπ],J[Hz])).								

compou	ınd	configuration	1'	3,4	2,5	6	7	8-13	14,14',15
11	(lR,	,2S,5R,methyl R)	5,05	6.37 (m)	5.11(m) 4.55(m)	7.63(d, J=6.4)	2.22 (m)	0.85-2.15 (m)	0.95(d,6H, J=6.0) 0.72(d,3H, J=6.8)
12	(lR,	,2S,5R,methyl S)	5.15	6.30 (m)	4.98(m) 4.47(m)	7.08(d, J=10.8)	2.42 (m)	0.95-2.30 (m)	1.11(d,3H, J=6.6) 1.03(d,6H, J=6.2)
18	(15,	,2S,5R,methyl S)	5.17	6.37 (m)	5.09(m) 4.55(m)	7.67(m)	2.06 (d,J= 14.2)	0.70-2.25 (m)	1.12(d,3H, J=5.0) 0.91(d,6H, J=1.6)

Table 5. at 15.1 M	¹³ C-NN MHz.	IR spect	tra of	carboo	ations	11, 12	2, and	18, ir	n D-tri	fluoroad	cetic acid,
compound	1'	1	3,4	2,5	6	7	8	11	12	9,10,13	14,14',15
11	82.07	104.86	95,08 95,22	78.75 81.78	147.77	48.16	51 .9 0	35.37	46.56	35.02 30.85 25.81	22.29 21.80 16.63
12	81.04	105.35	95.65 94.80	79.70 78.79	143.47	49.89	55.89	35.49	43.83	33.20 28.96 25.18	22.22 21.52 15.40
18	80.96	106.43	95.77 94.83	79.99 78.76	141.16	43.86	52.82	36.69	42.75	30.97 28.43 26.12	21.90 21.52 20.96



Scheme 3

The three amines 1, 2, and 3 have been tested for their ability to induce new chirality in the synthesis of a model compound for peptides by four component condensation (4CC) (Scheme 3). Thus, 2-methylpropanal and the amine have been condensed to the azomethine 32 which is subsequently treated with 2-isocyano-2-methylpropane and benzoic acid. The solvent of choice for many 4CC reactions is 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol²³. During the course of the reaction, the ferrocenylalkyl residue is removed by acidolysis, the trifluoroethyl ether 35 is formed. Thus, the optical rotation of the valine derivative 36 is directly indicative for the inducing ability of the amine. In 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol as solvent, the formation of malonamide derivative 37 is completely suppressed^{11,24}. For comparison, the results obtained with 1-ferrocenyl-2-methylpropyl amine 38, the standard amine used in most cases, are included in table 6.

Table 6.	Four	component	condensations of	E the amines 1,	2, 3, and 38.	
amine		chemical yield(%)	$[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ (of 36) ^a	e.e.(%) ^b	configuration of	36
1		46	-39	64	s	
2		59	- 3.1	5	S	
3		48	+ 3.0	5	R	
(S)-(+)-	-38	52	-24.0	39	S	
a) c = 1	, in	CHCl ₃ /aceti	c acid l:1 b)	e.e. = enantio	meric excess	

The results indicate a remarkable difference between the amines 2 and 3 on the one hand (whose induction is negligible) and the amine 1 on the other, which gives even better results than the amine 38 and is therefore particularly well-suited for stereoselective peptide syntheses by 4CC.

Experimental

 $l_{\rm H-NMR}$ spectra have been obtained with Jeol PMX 60 and Bruker WP 200 instruments, $l_{\rm C-NMR}$ spectra with a Jeol FX 60 instrument. IR spectra have been measured with a Perkin-Elmer 157 and optical rotations with a Roussel Jouan Digital 71 instrument. Mass spectra have been obtained with a Varian CH 5 instrument.

The preparation of the acid chlorides¹² 5 and 24, the chlorides¹² 7 and 8 and the enol ether¹⁴ 15 has already been described. The synthesis of the ketones 6 and 23 follows known procedures²⁵, as well as the reduction of the ketones to the alcohols 9 and 10, and 21 and 22, respectively¹¹.

From acid chloride 24, a mixture of 'menthyl' ketone 6 and 'neomenthyl' ketone 23 is obtained which is separated by chromatography (silica gel, dichloromethane), 6: $R_f = 0.5$, 23: $R_f = 0.7$.

 $\begin{array}{l} 1-\{0xo[(1R,2S,5R)-2-isopropy1-5-methylcyclohexy1]-methyl]-ferrocene 6\\ \text{Yield (from 5): 80%, from 24: 55%. [oc]_D^{0}=-32.7 (c=0.3, benzene). M.p. 93^{0} C.\\ \text{MS: 352 (M^+, 100\%). } C_{21}\text{H}_{28}\text{FeO} (352.30) calc. c 71.59 H 8.01, found C 71.79 H 8.22. \end{array}$

 $\label{eq:loss_loss_spin} \begin{array}{l} 1-\{0xo\{(1S,2S,5R)-2-isopropy\}-5-methylcyclohexyl\}-methyl\}-ferrocene 23. \\ \mbox{Yield (from 24): } 25\%. [cc]_D^0=-11.4 (c=1, benzene). \\ \mbox{M.p. 101}^O C. \\ \mbox{MS: } 352 (M^+, 100\%). \\ \mbox{C}_{21}H_{28}FeO (352.30) \\ \mbox{calc. } C \\ \mbox{71.59 H 8.01, found C 71.76 H 8.26.} \end{array}$

The reduction of ketone 6 leads to a mixture of alcohols 9 and 10 which can be separated by chromatography (silica gel, dichloromethane), 9: $R_f = 0.6$, 10: $R_f = 0.4$.

Reduction of ketone 23 leads to a mixture of alcohols 21 and 22 (about 1 : 1), which could not be separated. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +5.3$ (c = 1, benzene).

5938

1-{Hydroxy[(1R,2S,5S)-2-isopropy1-5-methylcyclohexy1]-(S)-methyl}-ferrocene 10. Yield: 16%. [oc]^D₂⁰ = - 80.0 (c = 0.5, benzene). M.p. 95 - 97^o C. MS: 354 (M⁺, 93%) 215 (100%). C₂₁H₃₀FeO (354.30) calc. C 71.19 H 8.53, found C 71.27 H 8.63.

The Grignard reaction¹² of the chlorides 7 and 8 with ferrocenecarboxaldehyde follows standard procedures and leads to 36% of 9 and 24% of 10, separated as above.

The solutions of the carbocations 11, 12, 18 and 19 for NMR spectroscopy have been prepared by dissolving about 200 mg of the alcohols 9, 10, or the mixture of 21 and 22, or the alkene 16 in 1.5 ml of deuterated trifluoroacetic acid. For cations 11 and 12, enol ether 15 (100 mg) and ferrocene (100 mg) may be dissolved together in 1.5 ml of D-trifluoroacetic acid.

Carbocations 11 and 12 from enol ether 15:

Following a known procedure¹⁶, 0.1 mol (18.2 g) of **15** and 0.1 mol (18.6 g) of ferrocene are dissolved under nitrogen in 100 g of trichloroacetic acid and 15 ml of dichloromethane. The mixture is cooled to -10° C and under stirring, 0.2 mol (15.0 ml) of fluorosulfonic acid are added dropwise. Stirring is continued for 35 minutes at -10° C. The solution then contains a 9 : 1 mixture of the carbocations 11 and 12. If stirring is continued under nitrogen for 6 h at room temperature, the solution contains exclusively carbocation 12.

Deprotonation of the carbocations 11 and 12:

The solutions are neutralized slowly with 30% aqueous sodium hydroxide in such a manner not to rise the temperature above 40° C. From 11, alkene 16 is isolated exclusively and purified as described¹⁴. Yield: 75%. [∞]²_D = - 128.7 (c = 1, benzene). M.p. 53^o C (from hexane).

From 12, a mixture of the alkenes 16 and 17 is obtained (about 1 : 1). Due to its instability, 17 could not be isolated in pure state. Preparation and properties of amine 3 from alkene 16 via cation 18 and azide 20 have been described already 1^4 .

Scavenging of the cations 11 and 12 with lithium azide follows published methods 11,14 . For the reduction to the amines, purification of the azides 13 and 14 is not necessary. For analytical purposes, they may be purified by chromatography (silica gel, hexane).

 $\begin{array}{l} 1-\{ \texttt{Azido}_{(1\mathbb{R},2\mathbb{S},5\mathbb{R})}-2-\texttt{isopropy1-5-methylcyclohexyl}_{-(\mathbb{R})-methyl} \}-\texttt{ferrocene 13.} \\ \texttt{Yield: } 75\$. [\infty]_{1}^{20}=\texttt{+ 31.3 (c = 0.5, benzene). M.p. 98° C (from hexane). MS: 379 \\ (\texttt{M}^+, 82\$) \texttt{121 (100\$). IR: 2095 (vs) (KBr). C_{21}\texttt{H}_{29}\texttt{FeN}_3 (379.34) \texttt{calc. C 66.49 H} \\ \texttt{7.71 N 11.08, found C 66.71 H 7.83 N 10.94.} \end{array}$

1-{Azido[(1R,2S,5R)-2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexyl]-(S)-methyl}-ferrocene 14. Yield: 72%. [\colorgram]{20_m} - 94.2 (c = 1.5, benzene). Oil. MS: 379 (M⁺, 84%) 121 (100%). IR: 2090 (vs) (film). C₂₁H₂₉FeN₃ (379.34) calc. C 66.49 H 7.71 N 11.08, found C 66.45 H 7.48 N 11.05.

The reduction of the azides with LiAlH_4 is as standard procedure already described 11,14 .

 $\begin{array}{l} 1-\{\texttt{Amino[(1R,2S,5R)-2-isopropy1-5-methylcyclohexy1]-(R)-methyl}\}-ferrocene 1.\\ \texttt{Yield: 70% (from 13). [oc]_{0}^{20}=-41.1 (c=0.5, benzene). M.p. 83^{\circ} C (from hexane).\\ \texttt{MS: 351 (M^+, 13\%) 214 (100\%). } C_{21}\texttt{H}_{31}\texttt{FeN} (353.34) calc. C 71.39 H 8.84 N 3.96; found C 71.27 H 8.95 N 3.88. \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} 1-\{\text{Amino}\left[(1R,2S,5R)-2-isopropy1-5-methylcyclohexyl\right]-(S)-methyl\}-ferrocene \ 2.\\ \text{Yield 89% (from 14). } \left[\infty\right]_{D}^{20}=-59.1 \ (c=1.5,\ benzene). \ Oil. \ MS: \ 351 \ (M^{+},\ 18\%) \ 214 \ (100\%). \ C_{21}H_{31}Fen \ (353,34) \ calc. \ C \ 71.39 \ H \ 8.84 \ N \ 3.96, \ found \ C \ 71.24 \ H \ 8.80 \ N \ 3.82. \end{array}$

Four component condensations:

The amine (1, 2, 3 or 38) (10 mmol) and 2-methylpropanal (1.1 g, 15 mmol) are dissolved in CCl₄ (15ml) and stirred with 5 g of molecular sieve (4 Å) for 1 h. TLC indicates complete formation of azomethine 32. After filtering and evaporating of the solvent and excess aldehyde, the residue is dissolved in 10 ml of 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol. Benzoic acid (1.83 g, 15 mmol) and 2-isocyano-2-methylpropane (0.83 g, 10 mmol) are added and the mixture is stirred at room temperature for 4 hours. Hexane (100 ml) is added and the precipitated value derivative 36 is isolated by filtration₂ (S)-36 has an optical rotation $[\alpha]_D^{0} = -61.4$ (c = 1, CHCl₃/acetic acid 1 : 1)²⁶. Yields are reported in table 6.

Acknowledgement

Financial support of this work by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

References

D. Enders and R.W. Hoffmann, Chem. i. u. Z., 1985, 19, 177, see also: J. Dugundji, R. Kopp, D. Marquarding and I. Ugi, Top. Curr. Chem., 1978, 75, 165. T. Hayashi and M. Kumada, Acc. Chem. Res., 1982, 15, 395. 1. 2. K.B. Sharpless and T.R. Verhoeven, Aldrichimica Acta, 1979, 12, 63. D. Enders, 'Selectivity - A Goal for Synthetic Efficiency', W. Bartmann and B.M. Trost, Eds., Verlag Chemie, Weinheim 1984. 3. 4. D. Seebach, A.K. Beck, M. Schiess, L. Widler, and A. Wonnacott, Pure Appl. Chem., 1983, 55, 1807. K. Yamamoto, J. Wakatsuki, and R. Sugimoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1980, 53, 5. 6. 1132. 7. I. Uqi, 'The Peptides', Vol II. E. Gross and J. Meienhofer, Eds., Academic Press: New York 1980. I. Ugi, D. Marquarding, and R. Urban, 'Chemistry and Biochemistry of Amino Acids, Peptides, and Proteins', Vol. VI, B. Weinstein, Ed., Marcel Dekker: 8. New York 1982. 9. K. Schlögl and M. Fried, Monatsh. Chem., 1964, 95, 558. 10. G. Eberle, I. Lagerlund, I. Ugi, and R. Urban, Tetrahedron, 1978, 34, 977. 11. R. Herrmann, G. Hübener, F. Siglmüller, and I. Ugi, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1986, 251. J.G. Smith and G.F. Wright, J. Org. Chem., 1952, 17, 1116.
J.G. Smith and G.F. Wright, J. Org. Chem., 1952, 17, 1116.
G.Gokel, P. Hoffmann, H. Klusacek, D. Marquarding, E. Ruch, and I. Ugi, Angew. Chem., 1970, 82, 77; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1970, 9, 64.
A. Gieren, C.P. Kaerlein, T. Hübner, R. Herrmann, F. Siglmüller, and I. Ugi, Tetrahedron, 1986, 42, 427.
B. Herrmann and L. Ugi, Angew. Chem. 1979, 91, 1023; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Ugi, Angew. Chem., 1979, 91, 1023; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 15. R. Herrmann and I. Engl., 1979, 18, 956. 16. R. Herrmann and I. Ugi, Tetrahedron, 1981, 37, 1001. R. Herrmann and I. Ugi, Tetrahedron, 1961, 37, 1001.
B. Misterkiewicz, J. Organomet. Chem., 1982, 224, 43.
H.C. Brown and C.P. Garg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 1085.
E.J. Corey and M. Chaykovsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 1353.
W.E. Watts, J. Organomet. Chem. Libr., 1979, 7, 399.
T.D. Turbitt and W.E. Watts, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1974, 177.
C.A. Bunton, W. Crawford, N. Cully and W.E. Watts, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1980, 2213. 23. R. Obrecht and I. Ugi, unpublished results. Dederer, G. George, D. Marquarding, and I. Ugi, Tetrahedron 24. A. Gieren, B. Lett., 1977, 1503. 25. G. Gokel and I. Ugi, J. Chem. Educ., 1972, 49, 294. 26. D. Marguarding, P. Hoffmann, H. Heitzer, and I. Ugi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 1969.

5940